

**The World of  
Organic Agriculture  
Statistics and Emerging Trends 2011**

All of the statements and results contained in this book have been compiled by the authors and are to the best of their knowledge correct and have also been scrupulously checked by the Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL) and the International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM). However, the possibility of mistakes cannot be ruled out entirely. Therefore, the editors, authors and publishers are not subject to any obligation and make no guarantees whatsoever regarding any of the statements or results in this work; neither do they accept responsibility or liability for any possible mistakes, nor for any consequences of actions taken by readers based on statements or advice contained therein.

Authors are responsible for the content of their own articles. Their opinions do not necessarily express the views of IFOAM or FiBL.

This document has been produced with the support of the International Trade Centre (ITC), the Swiss State Secretariat for Economic Affairs (SECO), and NürnbergMesse. The views expressed herein can in no way be taken to reflect the official opinions of ITC, SECO or NürnbergMesse.

**Should corrections and updates become necessary, they will be published at [www.organic-world.net](http://www.organic-world.net).**

**Figures, graphs, statistics as well as selected chapters from this book are available for download at [www.organic-world.net](http://www.organic-world.net). Some of these are publicly accessible, others are password protected and have the username: 'organic2011' and the password 'organicworld'.**

Any enquiries regarding this book and its contents should be sent to Helga Willer, FiBL, Ackerstrasse, CH-5070 Frick, e-mail [helga.willer@fibl.org](mailto:helga.willer@fibl.org).

Please quote articles from this book individually with name(s) of author(s) and title of article. The whole report should be cited as:

Willer, Helga and Lukas Kilcher (Eds.) (2011)  
The World of Organic Agriculture. Statistics and Emerging Trends 2011. FiBL-IFOAM Report.  
IFOAM, Bonn and FiBL, Frick

Die Deutsche Bibliothek – CIP Cataloguing-in-Publication-Data  
A catalogue record for this publication is available from Die Deutsche Bibliothek

© 2011 FiBL and IFOAM

Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL), Ackerstrasse, 5070 Frick, Switzerland, Tel. +41 62 865 72 72; Fax +41 62 865 72 73, E-mail [info.suisse@fibl.org](mailto:info.suisse@fibl.org), Internet [www.fibl.org](http://www.fibl.org)  
International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM) e.V., Charles-de-Gaulle-Str. 5, 53113 Bonn, Germany, Tel. +49 228 926 50-10, Fax +49 228 926 50-99, E-mail [headoffice@ifoam.org](mailto:headoffice@ifoam.org), Internet [www.ifoam.org](http://www.ifoam.org), Trial Court Bonn, Association Register no. 8726

Language Editing: Claudia Frieden, FiBL, Frick, Switzerland and Catherine Reynolds, IFOAM Bonn, Germany

Layout: Helga Willer, FiBL, Frick, Switzerland

Cover & Maps: Claudia Kirchgraber, FiBL, Frick, Switzerland

Cover picture: Bananas from the small farmers' cooperative APPTA in the South of Costa Rica. Picture: Paolo van den Berge, FiBL, Frick, Switzerland

Graphs (if not otherwise stated): Helga Willer, FiBL, Frick, Switzerland

Printed by Medienhaus Plump, Rolandsecker Weg 33, 53619 Rheinbreitbach, Germany

Price: 50 Euros, IFOAM members: 25 Euros

Printed copies of this volume may be ordered directly from IFOAM and FiBL (see addresses above) or via the IFOAM website at [www.ifoam.org](http://www.ifoam.org), the FiBL shop at [www.fibl.org/shop](http://www.fibl.org/shop).

ISBN 978-3-940946-83-6 (IFOAM) and ISBN 97-3-03736-192-4 (FiBL)

## Table of contents

Foreword from ITC and SECO	13
Foreword from FiBL and IFOAM	15
Acknowledgements	16
Sponsors	21
Abbreviations	22
Organic Agriculture 2011: Key Indicators and Leading Countries	25
The World of Organic Agriculture 2011: Summary <i>Helga Willer</i>	26
<b>ORGANIC AGRICULTURE WORLDWIDE: THE RESULTS OF THE GLOBAL SURVEY ON ORGANIC AGRICULTURE</b>	<b>33</b>
Organic Agriculture Worldwide – The Results of the FiBL/IFOAM Survey <i>Helga Willer</i>	34
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› Organic agricultural land</li> <li>› Related tables</li> <li>› Shares of organically managed agricultural land by region and country</li> <li>› Growth of the organic agricultural land</li> <li>› Other organic areas</li> <li>› Wild collection and beekeeping</li> <li>› Organic producers and other operator types 2009</li> <li>› Further operator types</li> <li>› Domestic market values</li> <li>› Land use and crop data</li> <li>› Arable land</li> <li>› Permanent crops</li> <li>› Land use in the regions</li> <li>› Organic farming in developing and transition countries and in emerging markets</li> <li>› Data collection on organic agriculture worldwide: background</li> </ul>	
<b>GLOBAL MARKET</b>	<b>61</b>
The Global Market for Organic Food & Drink <i>Amarjit Sahota</i>	62
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› 1 Introduction</li> <li>› 2 Europe</li> <li>› 3 North America</li> <li>› 4 Asia</li> <li>› 5 Oceania</li> <li>› 6 Other Regions</li> <li>› 7 Conclusions</li> </ul>	

<b>STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS</b>	<b>67</b>
Standards and Regulations	68
<i>Beate Huber, Otto Schmid, Ghati Napo-Bitantem</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› Organic legislations worldwide: Current situation</li> <li>› International standards &amp; regulations</li> <li>› The Codex Alimentarius Guidelines: Recent Developments</li> <li>› EU regulation on organic production</li> <li>› US National Organic Program (NOP)</li> <li>› Import requirements of major economies</li> <li>› Bilateral agreements between the exporting and the target importing country</li> <li>› Acceptance of the certifying agency by the target importing country</li> </ul>	
Facilitating Global Organic Market Access	76
<i>Sophia Twarog</i>	
World of Organic Certification 2010	78
<i>Kolbjörn Örvjavi</i>	
Government Recognition of Participatory Guarantee Systems in 2010	82
<i>Joelle Katto-Andrighetto</i>	
<b>The Organic Standard in the Market for Sustainable Products</b>	<b>84</b>
<i>Oliver von Hagen and Alexander Kasterine</i>	
Environmental, Social, and Economic Impacts of Sustainability Certification in the Agricultural Sector – The Current State of Empirical Research	88
<i>Julia Jawtusich, Bernadette Oehen, and Urs Niggli</i>	
<b>ORGANIC BEEKEEPING</b>	<b>93</b>
Organic Beekeeping: Opportunities and Risks	94
<i>Salvador Garibay, Peter Gänz, Rémy Vandame, Ulrich Broecker, and Stefan Bogdanov</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› European Union</li> <li>› Switzerland</li> <li>› Other countries in Europe</li> <li>› Middle East</li> <li>› Africa</li> <li>› Asia</li> <li>› Australia / New Zealand</li> <li>› USA and Canada</li> <li>› Latin America</li> <li>› Mexico</li> </ul>	
<b>AFRICA</b>	<b>103</b>
Organic Farming in Africa	104
<i>Hervé Bouagnimbeck</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› The extent of organic agriculture in Africa</li> <li>› Organic wild collection areas and bee pastures</li> <li>› Markets</li> <li>› State support</li> <li>› Standards and legislation</li> <li>› Research, extension and training</li> <li>› Background: The Organic Alternative for Africa</li> </ul>	

Tunisia: Country Report	111
<i>Lukas Kilcher and Samia Maamer Belkhiria</i>	
› Production data and operators	
› Key institutions/organizations	
› Domestic market	
› Trade: export, import	
› Legislation	
› Government support / development cooperation	
› Research, advice, and training	
Uganda: Country Report	117
<i>Charity Namuwoza and Hedwig Tushemerirwe</i>	
› Organic Certified agricultural land and farmers	
› Production	
› Key institutions/organizations	
› Domestic market	
› Growth in organic exports	
› Export companies and challenges in international trade	
› Standards and certification	
› Government support and organic policy	
› Research, advice, and training	
<b>ASIA</b>	<b>121</b>
Organic Asia 2010	122
<i>Ong Kung Wai</i>	
› Overview	
› Production & Markets	
› Standards, certification & regulation	
› Development challenges: Collaboration is key	
Organic Agriculture in Armenia	128
<i>Nune Darbinyan</i>	
› Recent important developments	
› History	
› Production data and operators	
› Key institutions	
› Legislation	
› Domestic market	
› Export market	
› Imports	
› Education, extension, and training	
› Investment in the organic sector	
› Donor support	

Organic Agriculture Development in China <i>Yuhui Qiao</i>	132
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› Recent important developments</li> <li>› History</li> <li>› Production</li> <li>› Key actors</li> <li>› Market &amp; trade</li> <li>› Legislation</li> <li>› Government and international (development) support</li> <li>› Research and Consulting</li> <li>› Constraints and Outlook</li> <li>› Links/Further reading</li> </ul>	
Indonesia: Country Report <i>Lidya Ariesusanty</i>	137
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› Production</li> <li>› Domestic market and exports</li> <li>› Policy support</li> <li>› Certification</li> <li>› Links</li> <li>› References</li> </ul>	
Kazakhstan: Country Report <i>Evgeniy Klimov</i>	140
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› The agricultural sector in Kazakhstan</li> <li>› Conditions for the organic sector development</li> <li>› Regulatory and legal framework, labeling, and certification</li> <li>› Production and export of organic products in Kazakhstan</li> <li>› Internal Market</li> <li>› Third International Conference on organic sector development in Central/Eastern European and Central Asian countries</li> <li>› Links</li> </ul>	
South Korea: Country Report <i>Dong-Geun Choi</i>	144
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› Government support</li> <li>› Production</li> <li>› The market</li> <li>› Symposia and other Events in 2010</li> <li>› Outlook</li> <li>› References</li> </ul>	

<b>EUROPE</b>	<b>149</b>
Organic Agriculture in Europe: Overview	150
<i>Helga Willer</i>	
› Production	
› Operators	
› Land use	
› EU Regulation on organic farming	
› Government support	
› Development and potential of the European organic market	
› Research	
› Links	
› Further reading	
› Related tables	
The Organic Market in Europe	156
<i>Diana Schaack, Helga Willer, and Susanne Padel</i>	
› The European Market in 2009	
› Trends in 2010	
› Data on international trade	
› References	
Organic Action Plans in Europe in 2010	160
<i>Victor González, Otto Schmid and Helga Willer</i>	
› Introduction	
› Background	
› Framework	
› Final remarks	
Switzerland: Country Report	169
<i>Lukas Kilcher</i>	
› History	
› Production	
› Market	
› Key institutions	
› Legislation	
› Outlook	
› Links	

Ukraine Country Report	173
<i>Natalie Prokopchuk and Tobias Eisenring</i>	
› Introduction	
› Production Statistics	
› Organic stakeholders in Ukraine	
› Policy dialogue and legal framework	
› Organic certification and standards in Ukraine	
› Domestic market	
› Export	
› Research	
› Education and capacity building	
› Needs of Ukrainian organic sector	
› Conclusion	
<b>MEDITERRANEAN REGION</b>	
Organic Agriculture in the Mediterranean Region: Updates	177
<i>Lina Al Bitar, Marie Reine Bteich, Patrizia Pugliese</i>	
› Structural aspects and trends	
› Opportunities and challenges of the new EU import rules: Highlights from the 5 <sup>th</sup> MOAN annual meeting	178
› Investigating organic research in the Mediterranean: an outline	
<b>LATIN AMERICA</b>	<b>181</b>
Organic Farming in Latin America and the Caribbean	182
<i>Salvador V. Garibay, Roberto Ugas, and Patricia Flores Escudero</i>	
› Increase in organic land	
› Organic markets still mainly export oriented	
› Organic guarantee systems	
› Governmental support	
› Education, extension, and research	
› References	
Chile: Country Report	191
<i>Pilar Eguillor Recabarren</i>	
› Certification and Labeling	
› Production	
› Producers and other operators	
› Domestic market	
› Export market	
› Policy support	



Costa Rica: Country Report	194
<i>Roberto Azofeifa</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› Area and producers</li> <li>› Certifying agencies</li> <li>› Processors</li> <li>› Domestic market</li> <li>› Export</li> <li>› Legislation and institutional support</li> <li>› Government support</li> <li>› Outlook</li> <li>› Links</li> </ul>	
<b>NORTH AMERICA</b>	<b>199</b>
North American Overview	200
<i>Barbara Fitch Haumann</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› Key developments</li> <li>› Production</li> <li>› Organic product sales</li> <li>› Advocacy efforts</li> <li>› Standards issues</li> <li>› Other issues</li> <li>› Looking forward</li> <li>› Further reading:</li> </ul>	
United States: Country Report	205
<i>Barbara Fitch Haumann</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› Organic market</li> <li>› Organic production</li> <li>› Consumer trends</li> <li>› US labeling win</li> <li>› Expanding production and supply</li> <li>› Outlook</li> <li>› Further reading</li> </ul>	
Canada: Country Report	211
<i>Matthew Holmes and Anne Macey</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>› Organic Market</li> <li>› Organic production</li> <li>› Highlight</li> <li>› Research</li> <li>› Challenges</li> <li>› Outlook</li> <li>› References</li> </ul>	

<b>OCEANIA</b>	<b>217</b>
Organic Farming in Australia	219
<i>Els Wynen, Alexandra Mitchell, and Paul Kristiansen</i>	
› Size of the industry	
› Standards and Certification	
› Market	
› Domestic market	
› Policy	
› Research and extension	
› References	
The Pacific Islands	223
<i>Karen Mapusua</i>	
› Recent important developments	
› History	
› Key actors	
› Production	
› Market & trade	
› Domestic markets	
› Legislation	
› Government and international support	
› Outlook	
› Links/Further reading	
<b>ACHIEVEMENTS MADE AND CHALLENGES AHEAD</b>	<b>229</b>
Creating Power Through Statistical Evidence: The Organic Alternative	230
<i>Markus Arbenz</i>	
<b>ANNEX</b>	<b>233</b>
Tables	233
Organic agricultural land, share of total agricultural land and number of producers	234
Organic agricultural land by country 2009, sorted by area	238
Organic agricultural land: The top ten countries per region 2009	240
Share of organic agricultural land by country 2009, sorted by percentage of agricultural land	242
Percentage of organic agricultural land: The top ten countries per region 2009	244
Growth of the organic agricultural land by region 1999-2009	245
Development of the organic agricultural land and share of the agricultural land by region and country, 2007-2009	246
All organic land use types by region and country 2009	252
Organic producers and other operator types by country 2009	257
Land use and key crop groups in organic agriculture worldwide in the regions 2009	262
Data Providers and Data Sources	268

## The Organic Standard in the Market for Sustainable Products

**OLIVER VON HAGEN<sup>1</sup> AND ALEXANDER KASTERINE<sup>2</sup>**

The organic sector has grown fast in response to strong consumer concern over food safety and the environment. However, while sales of organically certified products have grown, the sector has had to face new market entrants making green and ethical claims. This is particularly the case when it comes to certified tropical commodities (Potts et al. 2010). This paper outlines the nature of competition to organic from other sustainability labels and initiatives and the strategic responses the sector is making.

### The growth in the sustainability market

Despite the economic downturn, the market for products compliant with quality, safety and sustainability standards has continued to grow. This growth applies to both:

- Business to business (B2B) standards that mainly relate to quality or product safety issues (e.g., ISO, GlobalGAP or HACCP) and
- Business to consumer (B2C) standards making sustainability claims (Fairtrade, organic or Rainforest Alliance for example).

Products that comply with business to business standards accounted for 22 percent of global retail food sales in 2010 (GFSI, 2010). Products compliant with business to consumer standards range between 20 percent market share for bananas<sup>3</sup> (2009) to 8 percent of exported green coffee<sup>4</sup> (2009) and 3 percent of global cocoa sales<sup>5</sup> (2009).

The number of business-to-consumer standards has proliferated due to greater consumer demand for products fulfilling sustainability requirements and retailers' strategy to differentiate their product range according to their brand or choice of sustainability scheme.

Whilst the overall trend is towards competition, there has been some mutual recognition among standards based on benchmarking<sup>6</sup> exercises and the establishment of codes of good practice for standard setting (e.g., ISO, ISEAL Alliance).

In the last decade, business to consumer standards have shown *yearly* double-digit growth rates. This is driven by:

- Multinational corporations who use green and ethical certification and verification as a means to differentiate products and to comply with Corporate Sustainability Reporting requirements (e.g., Unilever, Nestlé or Kraft Foods).

<sup>1</sup> Oliver von Hagen, International Trade Centre (ITC), Geneva, Switzerland, [www.standardsmap.org/](http://www.standardsmap.org/)

<sup>2</sup> Dr. Alexander Kasterine, International Trade Centre (ITC), Geneva, Switzerland, [www.intracen.org/organics](http://www.intracen.org/organics)

<sup>3</sup> The State of Sustainability Initiatives Review 2010: Sustainability and Transparency (SSI Report). This number is based on export data.

<sup>4</sup> Based on green coffee exports in 2009 (SSI Report). Adjusted for double and triple certification.

<sup>5</sup> Tropical Commodity Coalition (TCC) Cocoa Barometer 2010. Numbers not adjusted for multiple certification.

<sup>6</sup> Benchmarking is a process whereby standard setting organizations evaluate various aspects of their standard in relation to another standard. This allows comparisons between the respective standards and provides the basis for the recognition of the benchmarked standard as equivalent standard.

- Increasing consumer demand for assurance of green production processes and food safety.

### **Challenges to the organic standard and responses**

Organic is distinct from other sustainability standards in two respects. Firstly, as described by the Codex Alimentarius, it is a “holistic production management system” and is unrestricted in product scope; the system is practiced and promoted by private and public organizations in over 160 countries of the world. Secondly, it is the only standard that is defined by public regulations, such as in the EU and US. However, despite this, organic standards compete for market shares with other sustainability standards such as Rainforest Alliance, UTZ Certified or Fairtrade. This mainly results from the undifferentiated perception of these standards by the majority of consumers.

#### ***Competing claims for environmental sustainability***

Organic has long been the market leader in sustainability standards, but this position is under threat particularly in tropical commodities. Despite continuous growth of the market of organic products in absolute terms, new market entrants show stronger growth and compete with organic labels (Pierrot et al. 2011). Organic has taken a non-confrontational approach with competing green standards, perhaps confident of holding the position of delivering strong environmental benefits.

Threats from green claims extend beyond competing standards to corporations some of whom are accused of greenwashing<sup>1</sup> in their marketing and communication. Greenwashing is damaging to organic in so much as it undermines consumer confidence in sustainability products in general.

#### ***Multiple sustainability issues***

“Sustainable” consumerism no longer encompasses just organic. Consumers demand evidence of sustainable practices beyond what organic can offer, including “ethical” labor practices, the exclusion of child labor, buying local products, reduced carbon emissions, conservation of flora and fauna, and so on.

A key response of private organic standard setters has been to integrate other sustainability criteria which do not relate directly to organic production, for example stricter rules on animal welfare than provided by the EU regulation and setting ceilings on carbon emissions from transport.

Alliances between brands have formed, which may eventually have negative implications for the organic standard and its ability to withstand competition. For example, the Rainforest Alliance standard for coffee has been benchmarked against the Common Code for the Coffee Community (4C) Association standard, making Rainforest Alliance certification of coffee equivalent to 4C Association certification and thus easier for producers to attain double certification.

The organic sector has also accepted double and triple certification with complementary schemes. In cocoa, 15 percent of organic and Fairtrade certified produce is double or triple

---

<sup>1</sup> For a definition see Greenpeace’s [www.stopgreenwash.org](http://www.stopgreenwash.org) for example.

certified.<sup>1</sup> In coffee, 50 percent of Fairtrade certified produce is also organic certified.<sup>2</sup> In coffee, organic has also double certified with Rainforest Alliance. The UK high end retailer Marks and Spencer, has announced it will sell only triple certified coffee (Fairtrade, organic, and Rainforest Alliance).

### *Premium labels*

Manufacturers and retailers have developed premium labels to convey sustainability and food safety qualities to the consumer instead of using the organic standard. This is observed in the coffee sector with the coffee brand Nespresso<sup>3</sup> who promote sustainability through other means than the use of the organic label.

Whilst the term “organic” is protected by law, similar claims like “natural” act as competition. For example, market research in the US from Shelton<sup>4</sup> shows that many consumers misunderstand the terms “natural” and “organic,” and believe that natural is the more regulated term. Their focus groups also revealed that lower-middle income groups found the term organic “elitist” and a way simply “to extract more money” from the consumer.

### *Local claims*

“Local” food is widely promoted by retailers, celebrity chefs, and politicians for its diversity, freshness and low “food miles”. Locally produced products appear to be an alternative for consumers who would otherwise buy organic. Some organic labels give clear preference to local food and ban air transport, ostensibly to reduce carbon emissions (e.g., Bio Suisse).

### **Conclusion**

The organic sector faces the challenge of an increasing number of other standards and brands competing for green and ethical segment of the consumer market. Sustainability as a term has broadened and corporations are introducing sustainability objectives across the whole value chain. The organic sector is heterogeneous and private standard setters have responded differently. Some like KRAV and the Soil Association include broader sustainability objectives than just organic, whilst the majority of standards remains focused on organic production and processes.

Whilst the market grows, it appears the organic sector is unconcerned about competing standard setters and brands making claims on sustainability. This position is strengthened by the protection that the EU, US, and other countries’ regulations provide to the organic name and thus supports consumer confidence. The sector perceives larger threats to its growth from policies that favor GMOs and the agrochemical industry.

---

<sup>1</sup> Tropical Commodity Coalition, Cocoa Barometer 2010.

<sup>2</sup> Tropical Commodity Coalition, Coffee Barometer 2009.

<sup>3</sup> The Nespresso Sustainable Quality was developed by Nespresso in collaboration with the Rainforest Alliance in 2005, this collaboration looks to serve the growing demand for sustainability standards across the specialty coffee sector (Potts et al., 2010).

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.foodnavigator-usa.com/Financial-Industry/US-consumers-think-natural-is-greener-than-organic-says-survey>

Table 17: Challenges for organic production from other standards and brands

Challenges for organic	Examples	Risks to organic	Response of organic sector
<b>Competing green claims</b>	Rainforest Alliance, UTZ Certified	Losing market share, particularly in tropical commodities	Passive; accepting multiple certification
<b>Corporate green-washing</b>	Regarding beef /soya and associated deforestation	Damage to growth due to lost credibility of green claims	Advocate sustainability of organic production systems
<b>Multiple sustainability issues</b>	Fair labor practices	Higher risk in produce from developing countries	Double/ triple certification with complementary standards (Fairtrade)
	Carbon emissions	Increasing risk, but an opportunity	Inclusion of criteria on transport (KRAV): risks of damage to trade Advocate climate benefits of organic in general
	Animal welfare	Low	Inclusion of criteria on welfare (Soil Association)
<b>Premium labels</b>	Nespresso	Risk of losing market share given corporate power behind claims	Passive Advocate benefits of organic
<b>Local production claims</b>	Local food movement in US and EU	Restricts trade and market growth	Embrace localism in Europe and US. (Rejection by developing country exporters.)

Source: Authors' elaboration

## References

- Global Food Safety Initiative (2010): The global Food Safety Initiative: Once certified accepted everywhere. Standards, harmonisation and co-operation in the global food industry, Paris.
- Pierrot, J.; Giovannucci, D.; Kasterine, A. (2011): Trends in the Trade of Certified Coffees. International Trade Centre (ITC), Geneva.
- Potts, J.; van der Meer, J.; Daitchman, J. (2010): The State of Sustainability Initiatives Review 2010: Sustainability and Transparency, IISD, Canada.
- Tropical Commodity Coalition, Cocoa Barometer 2010. Available at: [www.teacoffeecocoa.org](http://www.teacoffeecocoa.org)
- Tropical Commodity Coalition, Coffee Barometer 2009. Available at: [www.teacoffeecocoa.org](http://www.teacoffeecocoa.org)

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Julia Jawtusch, Lukas Kilcher and Helga Willer (all FiBL) and Markus Arbenz, Executive Director of IFOAM, for sharing their perspectives on this subject.